TOWN OF STANFORD TOWN BOARD  
WORKSHOP ON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN  
THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023

The Town Board convened on Thursday, 8/24/23 in order to have their second workshop on the Comprehensive Plan AFTER the July 31st Public Hearing.

Board members present: Wendy Burton, Margaret Fallon, Nathan Lavertue. Anne Arent and Corey Clanahan were absent but Corey sent his notes. Also present – Assr. Steve Gotovich.

* Pg. 3, 1st paragraph – 2023, not 2021

Town Board: 2021-2023 then list members names

* Pg. 8 – date changes in 2 places. “Most” people envision a town…

Corey suggested “…emphasizing that these are not mandated laws…”

“The plan guides future laws but only suggests them…”

Small paragraph – remove “medium” word

* Pg. 9 - BOLD last sentence, 1st paragraph

Last paragraph halfway down – remove “however” to “goals” but keep last sentence

* Pg. 10 - (Find date of initial effort by Joel Russell – *completed and given to the Town Board October 2001*)
* Page 11 - Date correction – Draft 2021

#3 – Stanfordville & Bangall hamlets… “as well as along the Rt. 82 corridor and other road frontage through out the hamlets…”

* Page 13 – Make Objective #1 – “…in as much as farmers have the right on how they control their property…” and get rid of current #3

Strike Objective 2.1

Leave 2.2

3.1 – repeat County suggestion “… along Rt. 82 corridor to encourage commercial development in Stanford where appropriate…”

4.1 – discussion -- REVISIT CHAPTER 4

1.2 – Steve G. – encourage “but not mandate”

2.3 – OK as is

* Pg. 14 – 4.4 – first sentence repeats, strike the first sentence
* Pg. 18 – BOLD the last sentence in 1.3

Steve G. – 3rd sentence not true, strike the % part

* Pg. 22 – Remove “3 family” since multi-family is mentioned
* Pg. 23 – Remove entire 1st paragraph

2nd paragraph, last line – add “encourage future Town Boards to update the demographical information with more recent data.”

* Pg. 32 – first paragraph, remove last sentence
* Pg. 34 – first paragraph “An informal search...” – revise to “Dutchess County partnered with host compliance…”
* Pg. 38 – “Significant percentage of Stanford…”

Typo – in 1st paragraph – leave space Cornell Cooperative

* Pg. 48 – add “pickle ball court and swimming pond with beach front”
* Pg. 67 – Steve suggested removing “high density,” DC’s language (Nathan has this);   
   put in “encourage” not require; Residential subdivision – strike “average density”; extend greater flexibility; put, eliminate the whole bullet section. Bullet #7 – remove completely (County study). Make a new bullet: “flexibility in lot sizes / amend current zoning to allow…
* Pg. 68 – last paragraph, Steve said to add plural corridors in the last sentence.
* Pg. 69 – Wendy feels very strongly about this: Route 82 corridor to allow for more opportunities… (see Nathan’s changes); “extend the RC zone i.e. Route 82 northward to Millis Lane.

Margaret’s notes: to include non-agricultural tourism, just “trails” no rail…

See Nathan’s bullets #3 – follow Rt. 82 / alternate locations and maintain rural character… bullet #4 – extend the boundaries of the RC zone in a manner with the goals of the plan

Corey – bullet #2 – home businesses – “in characteristic with neighborhood”

* Pg. 70 - 3rd dash – bullet
* Pg. 71 – don’t strike 2nd bullet, Steve: that’s good for the applicant

END OF CHAPTER 3